BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

審脏 The Tax Foundation's review follows:

"TAX FOUNDATION TABULATION SHOWING SWAL 2 ACTION IN ASSPTCHMENT OF CONTRACTOR OF A NEW SPENDING (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY TOK FISCAL YEAR 1960

"The tabulation of the Tax Foundation. Inc., includes all new spending (obligational) authority, under which obligations may be incurred without further action by Ongress, for the fiscal year 1960. It embraces current and permanent appropriations from the gains and permanent appropriations from the gains and permanent appropriations are supported for the Treasury and so-called hacks. eral fund of the Treasury and so-called back door spending authorizations, which include contract authority, under which contracts may be entered into but requiring later appropriations, and authority to expend from debt receipts, or in other words to draw upon, the Treasury without going through the appropriation process. The amounts in the tabulation are those applicable to fiscal 1960, and do not include either supplemental fiscal 1959 authorizations at the recent session or those for fiscal 1961, or other future years.

"Many of the tables which are published are not comparable to official data of the Budget Bureau in that they relate only to appropriations and fall both to include other appropriations and Iail both to include other forms of new spending authority and to exclude appropriated postal receipts and appropriations for liquidation of prior constract authority, neither of which provides new authority for spending from the Treasure

ury.
"The Tax Foundation tabulation does not appropriate, which include authorizations to appropriate, which may involve large spending programs but which place discretion in the Appropriations Committees with respect to actual appropriations.

"Total new spending authority for fiscal 1960, according to the Tax Poundation data, 1960, according to the Tax roundation data, its about \$77.4 billion. This is \$806 million less than the total of amended budget requests but \$607 million more than first estimated in January. An increase of \$1.4 billion in the total of amended budget required over the original language total to quests over the original January total is accounted for to the extent of about \$1.6 accounted for to the extent of about \$1.0 billion by deferment to fiscal 1960 of proposals intended for action in the closing months of fiscal 1959. This shift, however, was offset by about \$700 million of items in the original fiscal 1960 budget which were not actually submitted to Congress. New proposals and increases accounted for about \$700 million in the total of amended budget

"Supplemental 1959 authorizations enacted at the recent session totaled \$7.4 hillion, which with fiscal 1960 new spending authority made a total of \$84.8 billion for the session. This was \$1.1 billion less than the combined estimate in the January budget document, but \$24 billion more than the

document, but \$2.4 billion more than the session total of last year.

"The \$77.4 billion total of new spending authority for fiscal 1960 is a substantial reduction from the total of \$81.1 billion for fiscal 1959 but far greater than in any other than the Koroan way Subplemental year since the Korean war. Supplemental items in the next session will mean an in-

crease in the fiscal 1860 total.
"The \$77.4 billion for fiscal 1960 includes three general classes of new spending su-thority: (I) amounts arising from actions in appropriation bills, and which thus have been subject to annual review of spending programs by the Appropriations Committees; (2) "back door" finsucing, including contract cutherity, and authority to expend from either public or corporate debt receipts, the latter applying to authority for the Tennesfrom the sale of revenue bonds; and (3) permanent authorizations of a definite or indefinite character, including appropriations, contract authority, and authority to expend from debt receipts.

oms, including loan authorizations in the Department of Agriculture bill but excluding dispropriated postal propries 96.965-1000 (1) the dispers grant program. The comparison of contract authority, totals about \$67.2 billion. This is about \$881 million less than proposed in amended budget estimates.

"Back door" manning accounts for about \$1.2 billion, which is \$75 million above the budget requests. The excess over the budget would have been much greater except for the

o monante oins and threats of a

"Piscal 1960 new spending (obligational) authority

Bill, program, or grouping by type of new obligational authority	Amended budget estimates	Passed by House	Passed by Senate	Pinal Congress action	Final action versus estimates
Appropriation bills:	Total y	140 W	7-9		
Department of Defense Independent offices Department of Assistance		\$28, 848	\$39. MM		1
Department of Agriculture (including REA	6, 564	\$ CM	0.40	\$39, 228 6, 502	-\$20 -82
and Farmers Home Administration leans)	4.409	4,200		.,	82
Total nogial appropriate the state of the st	4, 824	1 192	8,692	4, 892	-77
Deduct postal receipts Appropriations for postal deficit Tressury-Tax Court (b. Tressury-Tax Court	(3, 809)	(2, 847)	(3.870)	1 3, 627 (3, 850)	-698 (-40)
Appropriations for postal deficit	(3,797) 172	(3, \$77)	(3,877)	(3, 377)	(-850)
Pili) - Logi Cilice	*1*	470	400	482	+310
Labor-Health Edwards	789	781	786	784	
	1,757 2,687		4.124	4.016	+360
Military construction Public works (passed ever rotal)	1,663	2,639 L 285	2,690	2,652	36
Military construction Public works (passed over veto). Department of Commerce, etc. State, Justice, Judiciary, USIA. Interior Department, etc. Legislative District of Columbia, Federal funds. General government. Supplemental. Resupropriations. Deduct appreciations.	1, 182	i isi	1,428 1,182	1, 864	-199
State, Justice, Judiciary, 1814	783	675	715	1, 182 713	(²) —19
Interior Department, etc.	401		661	649	19
Thereis of Columbia	ini	. 472	497	482	-9
General government, Federal funds	70	. A. W.	병	139	-5
Bupplemental .	141		The RI	62 14	(1) -8
Resppropriations	- 121	123	1,076	1977	-241
Deduct appropriations to liquidate contract		TO COM THE	161	163	
Net total in appropriation bills	98, CB2	1 1 20	20)	206	-18
sekdoor financing:	90,084	65, 639	67, 977	67, 151	881
Contract authority:					
Urhan renewed in Anal basses at the			4.5	1	
Authority to arrund from dalle and the state of the state	65	340	260	4 850	
National parkway		43	100	163	-2
Authority to expend from debt receipts: College housing loans FNAIA cooperative housing mortgages. TVA revenue bends.		37.14.43.43	3	2	+2
FNMA cooperative holying most green	200	200	260	2:50	+50
TVA revenue bends	780	. 36	25	25	+25
TVA revenue bends. Aid to depressed areas.	(10)	760	750	750].	******
Total backdoor financing					
	1, 865	3, 436	1,777	1, 440	+75
			_		7.73
Appropriations Contract authority Authority to account to be	8, 503	B. 593	8,593	0.000	
Authority to expend debt receipts.	80	80	80		******
	150	130	150	150	
Total permanent anthorizations.	8. 823	8.823			-
Total new obligational authority.		4,040	8, 823	8, 823	

Includes \$3,220,000,000 for matual security, \$704,000,000 less than 1960 budget; advance approval of \$600,000,000 less than 1960 budget; advan

Liberation of the Captive Peoples of Eastern Europe

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

OF HON. PAUL H. DOUGLAS

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES Monday, September 14, 1959

Mr. DOUGLAS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the Appendix of the RECORD the brief resolution adopted by the Rockford branch of the Lithuanian American

Council urging the President in his talks with Prime Minister Khrushchev to be firm in his support of liberation of tile captive peoples of eastern Europe

There being no objection, the resolu-tion was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

BEPTEMBER 6, 1959

Hon. PAUL H. DOUGLAS,

Senator from Illinois,

Senator from Illinois,

U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR Six: We submit the following tendlution adopted by the Lithuanian American Council, Rockford Branch:
"Whereas, the present dictator of Soviet

Union Nikita Khrushchev, who keeps under his heel many former independent nations, among them three Baltic States, comes this 1959

month, as a guest of President Eisenhower,

to this country; and

"Whereas, the flasco of the recent Con-ference of Foreign Ministers in Geneva, Switzerland, definitely showed that the Soviet Government has no intention to make any concessions to the West regarding Berlin and Germany and refuses to withdraw its ultimatum: Therefore be it

'Resolved, That this gathering of the Lithuanian American Council considers that the invitation of the Soviet dictator to this country will not lead to the elimination of essential differences between East and West, but it may harm the cause of freedom by creating an impression in the world opinion that the Government of the United States has forsaken the victims of Soviet imperialism; further be it

Resolved. That this gathering requests the President of the United States to be firm in his talks with the Prime Minister of the Soviet Union, and to remind him that no just and lasting peace can be established in the world unless the Soviet Union restores sovereign rights and self-government to Lithuania Latvia and Estonia, and withdraws its armed forces from the so-called satellite countries

LITHUANIAN AMERICAN COUNCIL, JOSEPH BACEVICH, Chairman. P. A. DELTUVA, Secretary.

Permanent World Trade Exposition in Brussels, Belgium

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. OLIN D. JOHNSTON

OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES Monday, September 14, 1959

Mr. JOHNSTON of South Carolina. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the Appendix of the RECORD, a statement by me on the World

Trade Exposition in Brussels, Belgium.
There being no objection, the statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

STATEMENT BY SENATOR JOHNSTON OF SOUTH CAROLINA

The success of the free world and our free enterprise system is and will continue to depend in the largest measure upon a prosperous world trade. International commerce depends upon freedom and good will. The validity of agreements freely entered into and the sanctity of private property are the cornerstones on which international trade is built. Our chambers of commerce, boards of trade, and the American. Foreign Trade Council recognize the importance of these factors. They are continually stressed at their meetings as the basis for the success of world business

I have learned with much pleasure that a friend of mine, Mr. Leon F. Bergere, realizing the importance of world trade, has taken the initiative in establishing a Permanent World Trade Exposition in Brussels, Belgium. The World Trade Center and a Universal Trade Center in Brussels will foster good will and international commerce. Here there will be on exhibit the many products of the varied industries of the world. Manufactured articles and raw materials will be on permanent display. With the increasingly large surplus of products of our agriculture, manufacturing plants, as well as other finished products and raw materials, a world trade center can become a most useful adjunct to increased world trade. Sellers and buyers

may and will then meet at a common, centraily located market.

Facilities for international banking, transportation of all kinds, technical services, advice and information established at the World Trade Center, are bound to be of in-valuable assistance not only to those engaged in international trade but also to tourists, visitors, and the government rep-, resentatives of the nations participating in the exchange.

It is of particular interest to me that it is planned to establish at the exposition an exchange bureau where there will be assembled the methods and means of handling international and domestic mail and parcel post. We will be enabled at a central location to learn of the improvements in facilities, and the methods and means of personal and commercial communications. A central office where ideas and improvements from time to time may be exchanged between the participating nations will serve. as a continuing medium of improvements. Our mail service, domestic and foreign, and trade relations generally are bound to be improved as a result of the establishment of the world trade center.

I congratulate Mr. Bergere upon his industry and foresight I trust success will attend this worthwhile project.

"Today in Congress"

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. SAMUEL L. DEVINE

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, September 14, 1959

Mr. DEVINE. Mr. Speaker, during this session of the 86th Congress whenever I wanted the complete story on what happened here on Capitol Hill during the day, I did what so many of my colleagues tell me they did: I turned on my radio that night and listened to the distinguished radio commentator, Joseph McCaffrey, and his nightly report on "Today in Congress."

Not only were Mr. McCaffrey's reports on the workings of Congress informative, they were lively and interesting as well. He drew on his vast knowledge of the workings of Congress gained from his 12 years' experience covering Capitol Hill to bring us an oral congressional record from which we could get a comprehensive picture of all the important happenings of the day on the floor, in committees, and elsewhere here on Capitol Hill. To me, one of the most outstanding features about Mr. McCaffrey's report was the way he spiced the headlinemaking events with coverage of the many vital and constructive efforts here on the Hill which are overlooked by other news programs because they are not of the sensational and headline-catching variety. He also gave time to the personalities, the human interests, and the humor of congressional affairs which made his program so well-rounded.

"Today in Congress," Mr. Speaker, was sponsored as a public service for the second straight year. I know I do not speak just for myself when I express my deep appreciation to this fine organization and to its member airlines for making it possible for Congress to have its own radio

program, devoted exclusively to our activities and our work. It was a real aid to me in my effort to keep abreast of the fast-moving events breaking here on the Hill, as I know it was to the other Members of Congress as well as Government officials and others who are in positions which require them to keep in close contact with congressional developments.

To Luce, After Laos

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

HON. ABRAHAM J. MULTER

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, September 14, 1959

Mr. MULTER. Mr. Speaker, I commend to the attention of our colleagues the following article by Joseph Alsop which appeared in the New York Herald Tribune of September 14, 1959:

TO LUCE, AFTER LAOS

(By Joseph Alsop)

DEAR HENRY LOCK: Although I rather doubt its being wholly welcome, I feel impelled to write you this letter after returning from a short but fairly intensive on-the-spot look at the trouble in Lace.

The idea of the letter originated in Hong Kong, on my way home, when I belatedly discovered that last-but-one issue of Time. The contrast was so remarkable, be-tween your dismissal of the new Commu-nist aggression in the Far East in a few patronizing paragraphs, and your ikon-por-trait of President Eisenhower and close to six pages of paean about his European trip. Your implied order of priorities is cheerfully accepted by a large section of public opinion; and you are both the symbol and quite largely the creator of this public

Having been in Sam Neus and Vientlane when the President was in London and Paris. I cannot judge the solid results achieved by his trip. His purposes, certainly, were as high as his purposes always are. But after the closest, most prolonged study of your report on it, I can find no more evidence of solid political achievement than you would expect from any personal appearance tour designed to instill confidence and to spread good will.

In Lace, on the other hand, one saw a wholly new—even terrifyingly new—stage of a vast political process. It is a process that

used to interest you in the past.

You surely recall your loud denunciations of President Truman and Secretary of State Dean Acheson for their failure to prevent the loss of China. What is happening now in Lacs grows out of that failure and the peace President Eisenhower made in Korea, and the subsequent partition of Indochina But even though Laos is small and obscure, the success of the Communist aggression there will still be a new stage in this process. It will resemble the stage when a spreading cancer ceases to be operable.

If you have any doubt about this, you only have to look at the map and the record. As a nation, Los may be small, obscure, dis-ordered, and primitive, but its geography is intensely significant. Like a long potential troublemaker's finger, it pokes south from the border of Communist China, past Com-munist North Vietnam on the east, into the middle of South Vietnam, Cambodia, and Thailand. All these three nations will be immediately imperiled by a Communist